

Date: Sun, 10 Apr 94 04:30:06 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #167
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 10 Apr 94 Volume 94 : Issue 167

Today's Topics:

MARYMAC,
NEW RIG, BEGINNER
post
RADIO SHACK SALE! (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Sun, 10 Apr 1994 01:10:20 GMT
From: netcomsv!intercom!allanwng@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: MARYMAC,
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

All: Can someone please give me the phone number for MaryMac?
Thanks, Allan in Staten Island
P.S.: Jesse, if you are reading this, thanks a lot!

Date: Sat, 9 Apr 1994 03:41:33 GMT
From: netcomsv!intercom!allanwng@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: NEW RIG, BEGINNER
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

All: I am considering buying the Radio Shack 2 meter hand held during the
sale this month. If I do get it how do I test it out to make sure that
it works okay, other than talking through it? I know very little about

the features of a handheld.

Allan in Staten Island, NY

Date: 10 Apr 94 04:34:24 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!usenet.ucs.indiana.edu!
indyvax.iupui.edu!jlbowman@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: post
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know who and how to contact the local frequenct

Date: Sat, 9 Apr 1994 03:40:28 GMT
From: netcomsv!intercom!allanwng@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: RADIO SHACK SALE!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

The Radio Shack HTX-202 2 meter hand held is on sale for \$188, \$71 off
the original price now until 4/30.
Allan in Staten Island

Date: Sun, 10 Apr 1994 02:40:32 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!att-out!cbfsb!cbnewsf.cb.att.com!
cropley@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: RADIO SHACK SALE!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1994Apr9.034028.13199@intercom.com> allanwng@intercom.com (Allan W.
Ng) writes:

>The Radio Shack HTX-202 2 meter hand held is on sale for \$188, \$71 off
>the original price now until 4/30.
>Allan in Staten Island

Call MaryMac. They have teh same for \$169. Typically they are always
cheaper than the regulr retail store.
Sorry don't have the number on hand. There is a lot of the rags these days.
or send mailand I'll dig it up.

Andy

acropley@attmail.att.com

Date: Sat, 9 Apr 94 02:16:24 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!newsserver.jvnc.net!yale.edu!
noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!usenet@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1994Mar29.163213.7203@cs.brown.edu>, <p0zMh7A.edellers@delphi.com>,
<2ncfi7\$331@tekadm1.cse.tek.com>
Subject : Re: Incentive Licensing

BRAVO AND WELL SAID!

I am a new ham, no code tech, and also new to the internet, but quickly getting
[A sides) and vitriolic
spewings concerning (mainly) the no code license. Please excuse my typing,
I'm not quite used to not having the a ability to backspace and correct.

Fellow hams seem to be so at odds, it disstres me so. The older hams
seem to be of the opinion that "we had to do it so by god you have to!"(code)
and the newer no-codes say "code is outdated, we passed the test we should have
all kinds ioof band use".

Seems to me we need to come to a comprimise. What say, old timers, if newer
hams have to learn Morse Code to gain accessmto more band privvilges (damn my
typing) then you have to laearn packret and computer communications SO YOU ARE
UP TO

DATE WITH TECHNOLOGY! After all we don't use spark-gap radios anymore for a
reason, and as a user of valuble band space you should be able to put it to
it's most efficient use (high speed data transmission). Now...

As for you knowitall no codes, how about we change the tests altogether. No
more multiple choice-all-Ihave-to-do-is-memorise-this-thin-Gordon-West-manual-I-
bought-at-Radio-Shack-with-all-the-answers-right-there!!

After having been interested in electronics since grade school, I found the
tech test so rediculoulsy easy I passed it at a ham fest on a spur of the
moment

challenge from a freind to take the test "just for the hell of it"! NO STUDYING!

And from listening to the HF bands and the VHF bands, no one group has
bragging rights to proper and courteous operating standards. Some of the things
I have heard on Hf remind me of the old cb days, (and these are not young
operators!)

foul language, nets that think they "own" certain frequencies,ect...at time I
just have to go back to two meters and hear freindly operators to restore my
faith.

Oh well, thanks for the ear, sorry about the overall appearance of this
letter, mayby if I change terminal emulation. Or figure out hoew to do this on
a good word pros and then upload it. Later T.D.

Date: Fri, 8 Apr 1994 14:40:35 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!convex!cnn.exu.ericsson.se!ericom!
eua.ericsson.se!sunic!psinntp!psinntp!arrl.org!jbloom@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <\$arlz05.1994@ampr.org>, <1994Apr5.110819.3586@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
<2o20sc\$2cs@hpbab.mentorg.com>son.s

Subject : Re: Amateur Forwarding Rules Ammended

Hank Oredson (hanko@wv.mentorg.com) wrote:

: In article <1994Apr5.110819.3586@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>, jmaynard@nyx10.cs.du.edu
(Jay Maynard) writes:

[]

: |> They've made it easy for even the first forwarding station to get out of
: |> having to monitor every message, though: Notice the bit about authenticating
: |> the identity of the originating station? This tells me that, once a practical
: |> authentication protocol is implemented, the responsibility is placed squarely
: |> on the shoulders of the originator, where ti belongs. This would most likely
: |> require validation of local BBS users, but that situation is very common in
: |> the landline BBS world, and it's stated policy among nearly all Internet
: |> providers that the identity of users of Internet-connected systems must be
: |> validated as well.
: |>
: |> BBS authors, here's your chance.

: Already available, but not applied to normal user login, only to "remote
: sysop" capabilities. Guess we authors will have to turn that code on now.

: Um ... there is just this one little problem ...
: user authentication will require a change to the message forwarding protocol.

Is that a big problem? If so, why?

--

Jon Bloom KE3Z jbloom@arrl.org

Date: 9 Apr 94 17:32:44 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!
usenet@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Cnno4p.Fyr@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <2nrt2g\$67r@abyss.West.Sun.COM>,
<Cntyuw.8M8@news.Hawaii.Edu>

Subject : Re: 40 meter Broadcast QRM

Jeffrey Herman <jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>for I know that they (we?) do have xmtr sites back on US soil. Isn't
>Delano a relay site?

It's not a RELAY site in the usual sense because it's in the same country as
the VOA's headquarters, but yes they do use it for broadcasts to the Pacific
Rim and Latin America; the BBC also uses Delano.

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #167
